Abstract

We aimed to evaluate levels of amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptid (NT-proBNP) in prediction of left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) in heart failure patients. Prospective study on 60 consecutive patients with symptoms and signs of heart failure was performed. Blood samples for NT-proBNP analysis was taken from all test subjects and echocardiography was also done in all of them. According to LVEF value, patients were divided into four groups; those with ≤30%, 31 to 39%, 40 to 49% and ≥50%. NT-proBNP values correlated with LVEF value. Regression analysis was used to evaluate how well NT-proBNP values predict LVEF. We used Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve calculation to evaluate diagnostic performance of NT-proBNP in estimation of LVEF. Average value of NT-proBNP in test group was 3191.69±642.89 pg/ml (p<0.001). Average value of NT-proBNP decreased with higher LVEF categories with significant (p<0.001) and high negative correlation (r = -0.75). Stepwise multivariate linear regression analysis showed that logarithmic value of NT-proBNP was excellent predictor of LVEF value (p<0.05). Model equation based on regression analysis was LVEF=88.645–15.311 x log (NT-proBNP). Predictive model for LVEF yielded from regression analysis had sensitivities of 98% and 81%, specificities of 89% and 99%, positive predictive values of 86% and 78% and negative predictive values of 67% and 92% for predicting patients with LVEF<50% and LVEF<40%, respectively. There was negative linear correlation between NT-proBNP and LVEF. NT-proBNP was excellent predictor of LVEF value (p<0.05).
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INTRODUCTION

The clinical diagnosis of chronic heart failure syndrome in absence of left ventricle dysfunction (LVEF) is often insignificant, especially when there are no evident clinical symptoms (1). Echocardiography is routinely used method for confirmation of left ventricle systolic dysfunction. But, it is expensive; the doctors are not trained for this method and is often unavailable for out-hospital patients. So, what would be the alternative to echocardiograph diagnostics of left ventricle dysfunction? The answer is natriuretic peptides and their use in routine practice should reduce the other diagnostic methods in cardiology, especially in out-hospital patients. This study explores the possibilities of amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptid (NT-proBNP) as noninvasive indicator of left ventricle dysfunction. The aims of the study were to correlate the levels of NT-proBNP and LVEF in patients with chronic heart failure and to test the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of NT-proBNP as a predictor for LVEF.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was conducted on 60 consecutive selected patients with symptoms and signs of heart failure hospitalized at the Department for Internal Diseases in University Clinical Center Tuzla. Excluded criteria were as follows: elderly patients (over 75 years of age) and clinically significant renal insufficiencies (plasma creatinin level >3.5mg/dl). All the patients were examined, history taken, as well as blood and urine tests, chest X-ray and electrocardiogram. Transthoracal, two-dimensional echocardiography was also done in order to calculate global systolic ventricular function through ejection fraction. Based on echocardiography maintained levels of LVEF, patients were divided into four groups: LVEF≤30%, LVEF 31-39%, LVEF 40-49% and LVEF≥50%. When the echocardiography measures were taken, there was no knowledge of serum levels of NT-proBNP. The blood from peripheral vein was taken to all patients 24 hours after the echocardiography. NT-proBNP test for all samples was done on Dimension RL MAX (Dade Behring) and the technician did not know the values of LVEF. The values of NT-proBNP were re-checked in our laboratory using the blood of 51 healthy donors (normal value 125 pg/ml for patients under 75 years of age). Plasma level of NT-proBNP was correlated with the LVEF levels in all groups of patients.

RESULTS

The sample was consisted of 60 patients with mean age of 60.3±10.7 years. There were 40% of females i 60% of males. Average value of NT-proBNP was 3191.69±642.89 pg/ml (p<0.001) and average LVEF value was 41.3±13.4 %. We have found significant difference between LVEF categories in NT-proBNP levels (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>NT-proBNP</th>
<th>EF (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤30 *</td>
<td>31-39 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>9599,6</td>
<td>2708,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>7852,7</td>
<td>2008,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>6825,2</td>
<td>2047,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 percentile</td>
<td>30000,0</td>
<td>8116,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Kruskal-Wallis; X²=34,7; df=3; p<0,001; SD=standard deviation; N=patients

TABLE 1. Average levels of NT-proBNP according to LVEF

Pairwise comparison between LVEF categories demonstrated that NT-proBNP levels are decreasing from lower to higher LVEF values (p<0.001). Spearman’s rank order correlation showed significant and high negative correlation of ρs= -0.75 between NT-proBNP and LVEF values. Graphical representation of NT-proBNP declining in categories with higher LVEF is depicted in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1. NT-proBNP levels according to LVEF categories

Total of 50 (83.3%) patients had LVEF<50% thus having echocardiographic criteria for systolic disfunction. Patients with EF<50% (3766.26±746.06) had significantly higher NT-proBNP levels than those with with EF≥50% (318.82±69.78 pg/ml; p<0.001), as seen in Figure 2.
Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curve evaluating diagnostic and predictive power of NT-proBNP in detection of patients with EF<50% is displayed in Figure 3. Area under the ROC curve (AUROC) was 0.96 (%95 CI=0.93-0.99; p<0.05). Best sensitivity and specificity values had threshold level of 123.1 pg/ml (Table 2).

We used stepwise linear regression analysis to evaluate impact of age, sex and NT-proBNP on LVEF value. Value of NT-proBNP due to its nonparametric nature was log-transformed. We have found that log NT-proBNP was significant predictive factor for EF value (B=-1.531; t=-7.87; df=58; p<0.001) Age and sex were not significant predictors of EF (p>0.05).

Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC) curve evaluating diagnostic and predictive power of NT-proBNP in detection of patients with EF<40% is displayed in Figure 4. Area under the ROC curve (AUROC) was 0.94 (%95 CI=0.90-0.99; p<0.05). Best sensitivity and specificity values had threshold level of 841.8 pg/ml, with sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 85% and positive and negative predictive values of 75% and 100%, respectively.

We used stepwise linear regression analysis to evaluate impact of age, sex and NT-proBNP on LVEF value. Value of NT-proBNP due to its nonparametric nature was log-transformed. We have found that log NT-proBNP was significant predictive factor for EF value (B=-1.531; t=-7.87; df=58; p<0.001) Age and sex were not significant predictors of EF (p>0.05).
Using parameters gained through regression analysis we constructed predictive model for predicting LVEF based on NT-proBNP value. The equation gained was \( \text{EF} = 88,645 - 15,311 \times \log \text{(NT-proBNP)} \). This model was capable to predict EF values based solely on NT-proBNP with average difference of ±19.3 between observed and predicted values of EF. Correlation between observed and predicted values 0.72 (p<0.05) and is depicted in Figure 5.

**DISCUSSION**

The values of ejection fraction are being used as absolutely valid criteria for diagnosis of left ventricle systolic dysfunction in routine practice. The decrease of its values can be transient usually in “stunning” myocardium with spontaneous recovery after surgical bypass (2). The credibility of echocardiography evaluation of systolic dysfunction leaves no doubts. But, it is not always available as a screening method in general population. The determination of ventricle peptide substances significantly increases the diagnostic accuracy of heart failure and also helps in dividing the patients according to their symptoms (4). The American Cardiology Association and American Heart Association have given the instructions for use of natriuretic peptides in differentiation of dyspnea caused by heart failure or some other diseases (5). Our study has shown the neurohormonal activity with the significant increase of NT-proBNP plasma levels in patients with heart failure. Similar results are shown in many other studies (6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12). This study has correlated the plasma levels of NT-proBNP with the values of LVEF and significant negative linear correlation was the main result (p<0.001). 83.3% of examined patients had ejection fraction less than 50%, so they had the criteria for systolic dysfunction and their values of NT-proBNP were significantly higher comparing to the patients with EF>50% (p<0.001). Our results are comparable with the results of Januzi and al. (6), as well as with the results of other similar studies (12, 14, 15, 16, 17). Normal values of NT-proBNP practically exclude the diagnosis of left ventricle dysfunction. Border value of 123pg/ml is highly significant (87.5%) for detection of normal left ventricle function (EF>50%) and is comparable with the results of above quoted studies. Using the ROC curve for evaluation of NT-proBNP as a predictor of left ventricle systolic dysfunction (EF<50%), AUC value was 0.96 (0.95 CI 0.93-0.99; p<0.05). The best specificity and sensitivity ratio had the result of 123.1 pg/ml (100% and 78.4%), which is comparable with the results of other similar studies (17, 18, 19). Using NT-proBNP for detection of patients with EF<40%, AUC value was 0.94. The highest sensitivity was at the result of 841.8 pg/ml, with the sensitivity ratio of 100%. Hobbs et al. (13) used the border value of 40 pmol/l (336 pg/ml) for determining the EF<40% with the sensitivity ratio of 80% and 73% for specificity and AUC value was 76% with credibility of 95%. Bay et al. (20) used the increase of NT-proBNP value (>357 pmol/l) in identification of patients with EF<40% with sensitivity ratio of 73%, specificity ratio 82% and AUC 0.85. Using the parameters based on multivariate linear regression a predictive model was build in order to predict the values of EF. This model correctly predict up to 85% of patients with EF less than 50% and up to 87% of patients with EF less than 40%. Similar model has been used in many other studies on this topic (6, 7, 20, 21, 22).

The above described model can be important in everyday practice because of its predictive power. Based on one laboratory parameter and a simple formula, it gives us the opportunity to evaluate the values of EF without echocardiography. Certainly, it is not sufficient as isolated, but it should be estimated together with the clinical findings and other tests.

**CONCLUSION**

The negative linear correlation exists between the serum levels of NT-proBNP and EFLV in patients with heart failure. The plasma level of NT-proBNP is a good predictor of EFLV.

**List of Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NT</th>
<th>proBNP - amino-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LVEF</td>
<td>left ventricular ejection fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC</td>
<td>Receiver Operating Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUROC</td>
<td>Area under the ROC curve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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