ACUTE RESPIRATORY DISTRESS SYNDROME: INSIGHTS GAINED FROM CLINICAL AND TRANSLATIONAL RESEARCH

Marija Kojicic¹, Emir Festic², Ognjen Gajic³*

¹ Institute for Pulmonary Diseases of Vojvodina, Institutski put 4, 21204 Sremska Kamenica, Serbia

- ² Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, 4500 San Pablo Road Jacksonville, Florida 32224, USA
- ³ Mayo Clinic, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, Minnesota 55902, USA

* Corresponding author

Abstract

Acute lung injury and its more severe form acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) are characterized by diffuse impairment of alveolocapillary membrane in the settings of different predisposing conditions such as sepsis, trauma and shock. Many intrahospital exposures, including aspiration, delayed resuscitation, high tidal volume mechanical ventilation and non critical use of transfusions may contribute or worsen ARDS. Therapy is targeted to treatment of predisposing condition, life supportive measures and prevention of nosocomial complications. Rigorous adherence to lung-protective mechanical ventilation is critical to prevent ventilator induced lung injury and decrease mortality. Although survival of ARDS patients has improved in the last decades ARDS mortality rates are still high and survivors encounter significant physical and psychological impairments.

KEY WORDS: Respiratory distress syndrome, adult; mechanical ventilation; pulmonary edema

INTRODUCTION

More than 40 years ago *Ashbaugh* et al. first described acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), a life threatening condition in patients with precipitating factors and mortality that ranged from 50 to 70%. In recent years many basic and clinical studies have improved our understanding of ARDS but the clinical impact has been limited to advances in supportive treatment. ARDS affects 200 000 people in US every year, and is associated with 75000 deaths, 3.5 millions hospital days and mortality of approximately 40% (1,2).

History

In 1967 Ashbaugh et al described 12 patients with acute respiratory failure, oxygen refractory cyanosis and diffuse alveolar infiltrates on chest X ray (3). The syndrome was first named adult respiratory distress syndrome (4), but soon after it was noted in children it was renamed to acute respiratory distress syndrome. Due to lack of clear definition in 1988 an attempt was made to quantify respiratory impairment in terms of lung injury score (LIS) based on four parameters: radiographic changes, level of hypoxia (PaO₂/ FiO₂), lung compliance and positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP). Factors causing direct and indirect lung injury were defined, and the role of multiorgan failure (MOF) was emphasized in terms of prognosis (5). The lack of specificity and inability to differentiate between ARDS and heart failure resulted in current definition by American-European Consensus Conference Committee in 1992 (Table 1) (6).

Definition

Acute respiratory distress syndrome is a syndrome of acute respiratory failure with radiological feature of acute pulmonary edema in the absence of

American-European Consensus Conference Committee Acute onset

Bilateral chest radiographic infiltrates consistent with edema
PaO2/FIO2 <300 for ALI and PaO2/FIO2 <200 for ARDS
The absence of clinical evidence of left atrial hypertension as the principal explanation for pulmonary edema; PCWP≤18mmHg;

TABLE 1. American-European Consensus Conference Committee Definition of Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome; PCWP-Pulmonary Artery Wedge Pressure

clinical evidence of left heart failure as a principal explanation of pulmonary edema. The condition is characterized by abrupt injury in alveolocapillary membrane resulting in alveolar flooding, inflammation and change in surfactant properties that cause severe impairment of oxygenation and respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation (7). The definition takes into account the degree of respiratory impairment and distinguishes acute lung injury (ALI) and a more severe form acute respiratory distress syndrome. Although early recognition of these patients facilitates enrollment into clinical trials, previous studies found no correlation between PaO₂/FiO₂ and survival (8, 9). The majority of patients who present with ALI progress to ARDS within first three days (10). Arterial oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry is useful in estimating level of respiratory impairment in both pediatric patients and adults (SaO₂/ FiO2 of 315 and 263 correspond to PaO2/FiO2=300, SaO₂/FiO₂₌₂₃₅ and 201 corresponds to PaO₂/ FiO₂=200 in adults and children, respectively) (11, 12).

Etiology

The diagnosis of ALI is usually made in the ICU but the biological process begins much earlier. ALI/ARDS is rarely present at the time of hospital admission and usually develops in first hours to days after hospital admission in patients with predisposing conditions that can cause direct (pneumonia, aspiration, im-



FIGURE 1. Diffuse alveolar damage with hyaline membranes

FIGURE 1. Diffuse alveolar damage with hyaline FIGURE 2. Radiogram of patient with ARDS

FIGURE 3. CT findings in ARDS

Direct (pulmonary) causes Epithelial injury	Pneumonia Aspiration Submersion Lung contusion	
Indirect (extrapulmo- nary) causes Endothelial injury	Sepsis Shock Transfusion related lung injury (TRALI) Trauma Acute Pancreatitis Drug intoxication	
Increased capillary transmural pressure	Stress failure of the blood gas barrier Ventilator induced lung injury (VILI) Neurogenic edema High altitude pulmonary edema Negative pressure pulmonary edema Re-expansion edema Upper airway obstruction Vascular obstruction Air and amniotic fluid embolism	

TABLE 2. Causes of ALI/ARDS

mersion, lung contusion, fat embolism) and indirect lung injury (sepsis , shock, severe trauma, transfusion, acute pancreatitis, drug intoxication) (13). (Table 2.)

Risk factors

Sepsis, and in particular pulmonary sepsis, has been recognized as the most common cause of ARDS. But

only a small proportion of patients with pneumonia (10%), aspiration (16%), extrapulmonary sepsis (6%) and acute pancreatitis (1%) develop ALI/ARDS.14 Previous research identified various factors that model the development of ALI ('multiple hit hypothesis'). Primary patients' characteristics ("first hit") such as local and systemic inflammation, oxidative stress, epithelial cell injury caused by acid or inhalation toxins, smoking, alcoholism, chronic lung disease (interstitial diseases and COPD), acidosis and certain genetic polymorphism with additional exposures ("second hit") to high tidal volumes, high oxygenation fraction, certain drugs (amiodarone, cytostatics) and transfusion of alloimmunized donors lead to development and progression of ARDS (15). Massive transfusions (>15units) have been previously associated with ARDS (16). It has now been widely recognized that any transfusion of fresh frozen plasma, thrombocytes and erythrocytes can cause lung injury inside 6 hours after transfusion (17). This, transfusion related lung injury-TRALI is caused by immune reaction of donor antibodies (sensibilization in previous pregnancies or during previous transfusions) and

Risk factors	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	Patients
Treatment of infection and resuscitation		
Delayed antibiotic administration (>3 hours)	2.4 (1.1 to 5.6)	Retrospective cohort of patients with severe sepsis (19)
Delayed early goal directed resuscitation	3.6 (1.5 to 8.6)	Retrospective cohort of patients with severe sepsis (19)
Respiratory support		
Inspired oxygen concentration -FiO2 (%)	1.05 (1.04 to 1.07)*	Case control study, elective surgery> 3 hours (20)
Anesthesia (hours)	1.64 (1.37 to 1.96) *	Case control study, elective surgery> 3 hours (20)
Tidal volume (mL/kg predicted weight)	1.29 (1.12 to 1.51)	Retrospective cohort of mechanically ventilated patients in the ICU (21)
Peak airway pressure (cm H2O)	1.2 (1.1 to 1.3)	Retrospective multicenter cohort of mechanically ventilated patients in the ICU (22)
Peak Airway Pressure > 30 cm H2O yes/no	1.57 (1.2 to 2.1)	Retrospective multicenter cohort of mechanically ventilated patients in the ICU (22)
PEEP (cm H2O) >5 cm H2O yes/no	1.69 (1.2 to 2.34)	Retrospective multicenter cohort of mechanically ventilated patients in the ICU (22)
Respiratory rate (breaths per minute)	1.1 (1.1 to 1.2)	Retrospective cohort of patients with severe sepsis (19)
Medications		
Chemotherapy, yes/no	6.5 (2.0 to 25)	Retrospective cohort of patients with severe sepsis (19)
Blood transfusion		
Blood product transfusion, yes/no	2.14 (1.24 to 3.75)	Retrospective cohort of medical ICU patients (23)
Red blood cells,	1.39 (0.79 to 2.43)	Retrospective cohort of medical ICU patients (23)
Fresh frozen plasma, yes/no ICU patients (2004-2005)	2.78 (1.21 to 6.38)	Retrospective cohort of medical ICU patients (23)
Platelet transfusion	1.51 (1.08 to 2.12)	Retrospective cohort of medical ICU patients (23)
Number of pregnancies among donors	1.19 (1.05 to1.34)	Nested case control study of transfused medical ICU patients (24)
Other		
Aspiration, yes/no	3.5 (1.2 to 11)	Retrospective cohort of patients with severe sepsis (19)
Medical or surgical misadventures (ICD-9 codes E870-E879.9), yes/no	11.8 (3.8 to 36.7)	National mortality follow back study (25)
Diabetes	0.33 (0.12-0.90)	Prospective study of ICU patients with septic shock (26)
Diabetes	13.7 (1.3-146.9)	Retrospective study of 67 patients with SARS (27)
Smoking, current, >20 cigarettes a day	4.59 (2.13 to 9.98)	Retrospective cohort study of hospitalized patients (28)
Chronic alcohol abuse	2.79 (1.68 to 4.83)	Prospective study of ICU patients at risk for ARDS (29)

TABLE 3. Risk factors for the developement of ALI/ARDS *unadjusted

HLA antigens of recipient. Products rich with plasma are more potent in causing TRALI. Prolonged storage and accumulation of bioactive lipids may cause additional injury to the lungs (18). Risk factors associated with the development of ALI are summarized in Table 3.

Pathophysiology

Acute lung injury is characterized by damage and increased vascular permeability of alveolocapillary membrane that result in protein rich pulmonary edema (increased permeability edema as opposed to hydrostatic edema in cardiogenic shock) (7). Injury to alveolocapillary membrane can be physical (increased pulmonary pressure), chemical or due to an activation of immune response. These affect both alveolar epithelium and capillary endothelium. Alveolar epithelium consists mainly (90%) of alveolar epithelial cells type I that are responsible for gas exchange and prevent extravasation of fluid into alveoli. Cubic, alveolar epithelial cells type II are less prone to injury and have a role in reabsorption of the fluid and surfactant production. Proliferation of alveolar cells type II and their differentiation to alveolar epithelial cells type I allows healing of alveolocapillary membrane (30). Injury of alveolar epithelial cells type II causes dysregulation in surfactant production and results in severe derangements of respiratory mechanics and decrease in pulmonary compliance. Endothelial cells edema and widening of intercellular gaps causes increase in vascular permeability ('capillary leak syndrome') (31). Both inadequate and hyperimmune response can cause injury of the alveolocapillary membrane. Dysregulation of inflammation in immune response is the common cause of acute lung injury (32). After initial stimuli activate macrophages to secrete tumor necrosis factor TNF α and IL-1 neutrophils migrate into intraalveolar space. Activated neutrophils, endothelial and epithelial cells induce a cascade of cytokines that amplify immune response. Products of neutrophils such as oxygen radicals and proteases can result in damage of the membrane ('collateral damage') (7). Epithelial cells are also found to have an active role in inflammation. In pulmonary infections activated macrophages directly (IL-1, TNF- α) or indirectly (T-cells) stimulate nuclear factor kβ (NF $k\beta$) pathway of epithelial cells that consequently leads to increased production of chemokines, colony stimulating factors and adhesion molecules. Experimental studies have shown that inhibition of inflammatory molecules may have a protective role in ARDS (32). Some but not all studies indicated correlation between increased plasma or bronchoalveolar TNF-a and development of or mortality in ARDS (33, 34, 35). Recent

genomic studies of cytokines and their receptors have shown that polymorphism in the genes for TNF- α is associated with increased mortality of ARDS, as well as ARDS susceptibility in some subgroups of patients according to the site of injury (36). Damage to epithelial and endothelial cells and production of inflammatory cytokines can lead to increased expression of tissue factor and stimulation of inhibitors of plasminogen activators. In addition levels of activated protein C (APC), antithrombin (AT) and tissue factor pathway inhibitor (TFPI) are found to be lower in sepsis due to decreased production and increased degradation (37) thus leading to increased fibrin production and microvascular thrombosis early in the course of ARDS (7).

Histology

Pathohistological changes in ARDS correspond to diffuse alveolar damage-DAD. Acute, exudative phase develops within first week and is characterized by interstitial and intraalveolar edema, capillary congestion, neutrophilic infiltrate, macrophages, erythrocytes and presence of hyaline membranes- eosinophilic structures which consist of cellular debris and proteins (albumin, fibrinogen and immunoglobulins). Elements of vascular microthrombosis are also present. Late, proliferative phase develops in first two weeks after insult. Proliferation of cuboid cells, fibroblasts, and myofibroblasts are seen with rare cellular infiltrate and interstitial deposition of collagen (38). DAD results in loss of the integrity of the alveolar-capillary barrier, exudation of protein-rich fluid across the barrier, pulmonary edema, and hypoxemia. Although described in 1976 by Katzeinstein (39) and considered to be a pathological substrate of ALI/ARDS presence of DAD was never included as one of the criteria in previous definitions since lung tissue is rarely available for pathological diagnosis. Furthermore, in patients with positive clinical criteria histological conformation of DAD is found in only about 50% on post mortem analysis (40, 41). Clinical and pathohistological definition correlates better in patients with extrapulmonary ARDS (40).

Diagnosis

Diagnosis of ALI is largely based on interpretation of chest radiograph that is consistent with pulmonary edema. Interpretation of chest X ray in critically ill may be difficult due to differences in quality, influence of mechanical ventilation as well as subjectivity of the interpreter. The interobserver agreement in applying radiographic criterion of ACCP definition was found to be moderate (kappa 0.55) (42). Cardiothoracic ratio

	ALI/ARDS	Cardiogenic edema	Limitations	
Protein in alveolar edema fluid/ plasma protein	>0.65	<0.65	Generally not available (30)	
Pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP)	PCWP <18mmHg	PCWP >18mmHg	Limited use of pulmonary artery catheter In diastolic pulmonary edema may be normal if not measured during ischemic episode ("flash pulmonary edema") Values above 18 mm Hg can be found in ARDS patients due to volume overload or coexisting cardiac failure (48)	
Echocardiography	EF>45% E/E'<15	EF<45% E/E'>15	Exam might be limited in critically ill patients	
Chest X ray	VPW<70mm CTR<0.55	VPW>70mm CTR>0.55	Low quality of Chest X ray Limited diagnostic accuracy (70%) (43)	
Brain natriuretic peptide (BNP)	<250 pg/mL	>950 pg/mL	May be in elevated in renal failure Lower values in obese patients (49)	
Response to treatment	>24h	<24h, rapid improvement follow- ing treatment with positive pres- sure, venodilators and diuretics		

TABLE 4. Differential diagnosis of cardiogenic and non-cardiogenic pulmonary edema Abbreviations: EF-Ejection fraction; VPW-vascular pedicular width; CTR-Cardiothoracic ratio

and vascular pedicular width could be useful in differentiating cardiogenic from non-cardiogenic pulmonary edema (43). CT findings in ARDS correspond to ground glass opacity and zone dependent heterogeneous consolidations (44). Patients with extrapulmonary causes of ARDS present more often with symmetric evenly distributed ground glass opacification in contrast to ARDS associated with pulmonary risk factors where the infiltrates tend to be asymmetric with a mix of dorsocaudal consolidation and ground glass opacification (45). In recent years there has been an increasing use of ultrasound techniques in the ICU which are valuable in assessing cardiac function as well as presence of lung edema by lung ultrasonography ('comet tail sign') (46). Preserved systolic function does not rule out cardiogenic edema since diastolic dysfunction (E/E'>15) is the common cause of cardiogenic edema. Echocardiography also allows evaluation of pulmonary hypertension and functional status of the right ventricle. Excessive stretching of the ventricles induces secretion of Brain natriuretic peptide- BNP by myocytes. Values of 250 pg/mL are highly specific for diagnosis of non cardiogenic pulmonary edema while values of 950 pg/ dl and higher are suggestive of heart failure (Table 4.). Right heart failure which is often observed in ARDS can cause elevated values of BNP (300-600pg/dl) (47). In differential diagnosis there are conditions that can present as ARDS but require specific treatment (Table 5.). In the case of unclear etiology of ARDS surgical biopsy may have a crucial role in establishing diagnosis and initiation of appropriate therapy, especially in immunocompromised patients (table 5.)(50). In previous studies, open lung biopsy in ARDS cases of unclear etiology led to alteration of treatment in majority of cases (60-89%) and was not associated with increased morbidity (51-53). Treatment is focused on identification and management of predisposing condition such as adequate infection source control and supportive therapy. With the exception of low tidal volume strategy no other medical intervention or pharmacology treatment has been proven to reduce mortality in ARDS patients.

Mechanical ventilation

Lung protective ventilation using low tidal volumes 6ml/kg predicted body weight according to US National Institutes of Health Network for the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (NIH ARDS Network) has led to a reduction of overall mortality from 39.8 to 31.0% in ARDS patients (8). Years of experimental studies indicated that using traditional tidal volumes of 10-15ml/kg can cause or worsen lung injury by direct mechanical stress, overdistension of healthy part of the lungs (volutrauma) (54-58), cyclic opening and closing of alveoli (atelectrauma)(59,60) and increasing production of proinflammatory cytokines (biotrauma) (61-64). Lung protection strategy is aimed at achieving SaO2 88-95% or PaO2 55-80mmHg and P plateau <25-30 mm Hg, with the lowest FiO2-PEEP values that often leads to retention of CO2 and acidosis. Positive end expiratory pres-

Diffuse alveolar hemorrhage	Decreased hemoglobin level in CBC and hemorrhagic BAL
Acute interstitial pneumonia (Hamman Rich)	Subacute presentation in previ- ously healthy individuals
Idiopathic acute eosinophilic pneumonia	Elevated eosinophil levels in BAL (40%) and blood Rapid response to corticosteroid treatment (48h)
Lymphangitis carcinomatosa	

TABLE 5. Differential diagnosis of ARDS; CBC complete blood count, BAL-bronchoalveolar lavage

Abbreviations: CBC-complete blood count; BAL- bronchoalveolar lavage

sure (PEEP) opens atelectatic alveoli, decreases fraction of intrapulmonary shunt and improves oxygenation. On the other hand PEEP may cause distension of healthy part of the lungs and increase dead space fraction, decrease ventricular preload and cause hypotension. There is no evidence in difference in survival using high and low PEEP values (65). Use of low tidal volumes is shown to decrease concentration of inflammation markers and frequency of extrapulmonary organ failures compared to traditional ventilation modes (66). In case of inadequate oxygenation unconventional mechanical ventilation may be indicated. High frequency oscillation HFO uses rapid delivery (180 to 600 breaths in minutes, Fr 3-10HZ) of low tidal volumes (often below the values of anatomical dead-space). The concept of HFO is similar to low tidal volume ventilation. Experimental studies have shown lower level of lung injury and inflammation in HFO compared to conventional ventilation (63, 67). Small volumes prevent overdistension of alveoli while high mean pressure in airways prevents its collapse. Downsides of using HFO are risk of pneumothorax and hemodynamic instability due to decreased stroke volume. Preliminary studies failed to show benefit of survival in patients who were ventilated with HFO that could be due to a late initiation of HFO. Future studies should be focused on identifying patients who could benefit from unconventional mechanical ventilation and timing of initiation of HFO in these patients (68). In the cases of severe refractory hypoxemia extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) could be initiated. The benefit of ECMO remained to be confirmed in controlled clinical trials (Conventional ventilation or ECMO for Severe Adult Respiratory Failure -CESAR TRIAL) (69). Lung recruitment and prone position can improve oxygenation but effect is often transient, and there is no evidence of improved survival (7).

Noninvasive mechanical ventilation

Non invasive mechanical ventilation (NIV) may be used in early stages of ALI in patients without multiorgan failure and in whom there is no expectation of prolonged mechanical ventilation. Presence of shock and acidosis are associated with failure of NIV. All noninvasively ventilated ALI patients should be closely monitored to prevent potential delay in intubation (70, 71).

Fluid management

Due to an increased vascular permeability reduced fluid intake is beneficial to a gas exchange. On the other hand reduction of circulatory volume can impair tissue oxygenation. Conservative fluid strategy reduces days on mechanical ventilation and ICU length of stay but does not affect overall mortality or development of acute renal failure. Similarly, use of pulmonary arterial catheter was not found to be superior to central venous catheter (72). After initial fluid resuscitation fluid administration should be closely monitored and CVP should be maintained at 4 mmHg if shock is not present. Negative cumulative fluid balance at day 4 is associated with reduced mortality in acute lung injury (73).

Corticosteroids

Inflammatory changes in ALI as well as possible hyperimmune response and pathohistological changes in late ARDS give rationale for the use of corticosteroids in both early and late stages of ARDS. In the eighties high dose of corticosteroids were used for the treatment of ARDS but after reports of increased mortality related to their use, corticosteroids were used more cautiously. Corticosteroids have an indication in following clinical conditions that may present as ARDS: vasculitis (diffuse alveolar hemorrhage), acute eosinophilic pneumonia, acute interstitial pneumonia and acute bronchiolitis obliterans with organizing pneumonia. SARS experience has shown positive effect of corticosteroids on some infectious forms of ARDS. There is evidence that in early ARDS (inside first 72 h) use of corticosteroids may decrease days of mechanical ventilation, ICU length of stay and hospital mortality but this data needs to be confirmed in larger clinical trials (74). National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) Clinical Trials Network study did not show difference in survival of patients with persistent ARDS (at least seven days) who did and did not receive corticosteroids, although there were evidence of improved gas exchange, respiratory compliance and ventilator free days (75). For those enrolled at least 14 days after the onset of ARDS the mortality rates in corticosteroid group were higher. Based on these results current guidelines do not recommend routine administration of corticosteroids in ARDS. Although previously associated with critical illness neuromyopathy and increased infection rates two recent meta-analysis found no relationship between use of corticosteroids and infection, neuromyopathy, or any major complications in ARDS patients (76, 77).

Pulmonary vasodilators

Use of semi-selective (nitric oxide, prostacyclin, prostaglandin E1) and non-selective (nitroprus-

side, hydralazine) vasodilators can improve oxygenation and reduce pulmonary hypertension in severe refractory forms of hypoxia by reducing pulmonary vascular resistance and improving perfusion of well ventilated parts of lungs. In spite of obvious physiological effects clinical studies failed to confirm its effect on reducing overall mortality rates (7).

Surfactant replacement

Although in ARDS metabolism of surfactant is severely impaired use of surfactant did not improve survival in adults. There is evidence that some subgroups of ARDS patients such as those with pneumonia and aspiration could benefit from treatment with surfactant (78). Possible additional therapeutic targets include use of antioxidants, β adrenergic receptor agonists, ACE inhibitors and nutritional modifications as well as use of GM-CSF and activated protein C (44, 50). Other supportive measures include adequate nutrition, stress ulcer, DVT and decubital prophylaxis. Recent data show that intensive insulin therapy reduces the duration of mechanical ventilation, duration of ICU stay and 180-day mortality in ICU patients but there are no exclusive data on subpopulation of ALI patients. There is evidence that intensive insulin therapy reduces the incidence of critical illness polyneuro-and/or myopathy (77).

Prognosis

Severe impairment of alveolar epithelia and failure to improve in first week are associated with adverse out-

come (79). Older patients with sepsis, liver diseases and MOF carry worse prognosis (80). Although severe impairment of pulmonary function is a hallmark of ARDS only two pulmonary features, oxygenation index and increased dead space fraction ventilation are shown to be of predictive value (81). The main cause of death is withdrawal of treatment due to irreversible MOF(82) and low quality of life in older patients (83) and patients with significant comorbidities. About 10% of patients will be mechanically ventilated for more than a month (44). In some patients acute phase may be complicated with fibrosing alveolitis and persisting hypoxemia, increased dead space ventilation and reduced compliance. First fibrotic changes may appear after 5-7 days (7). Obliteration of pulmonary capillaries and refractory hypoxia can cause severe pulmonary hypertension with right heart failure. In those who recover radiologic changes withdraw gradually and pulmonary function test recovers completely, sometimes with mild restriction, obstruction or decreased diffusion capacity that are usually asymptomatic (84). Histological resolution in ARDS survivors is not well known. Prospective studies have indicated that ARDS survivors have impaired quality of life at one year follow up. Main problems that ARDS survivors are facing are weight loss, deconditioning, cognitive and psychological problems as well as neuromuscular weakness (85).

	In hospital OR	Six month OR
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Age, per decade (86)	1.96 (1.50-2.53)*	
Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation III score, per 25 point increase (86)	1.78 (1.16–2.73)*	
Arterial pH <7.22 (86)	2.32 (1.02-5.25)*	
Charlson comorbidity score, for each point increase (87)	2.08 (1.34, 3.33)	3.11 (2.01-5.05)
Day 3 cardiovascular failure (87)	4.46 (1.66, 12.73)	3.30 (1.19-9.92)
Pulmonary dead space fraction (81)	1.45 (1.15 to 1.83)	
Oxygenation index (88)	1.84 (CI 1.13 to 2.99).	
N-terminal probrain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) levels>6813 ng/L (89)	2.36 (1.11-4.99)*	

TABLE 4. Predictors of mortality in ARDS *60-day mortality

CONCLUSION

Although survival of ARDS patients has been significantly improved in the last decades ARDS mortality rates are still high and survivors encounter significant physical and psychological impairments. Early treatment of predisposing conditions and the prevention of "second hit" in-hospital exposures are critical for prevention and treatment of this important complication of critical illness. Since ARDS patients represent etiologically and pathophysiologically a heterogeneous group of patients future studies should be focused on better defining subgroups of patients that could benefit from specific target therapies.

References

- Rubenfeld G.D., Caldwell E., Peabody E., et al. Incidence and outcomes of acute lung injury. N. Engl. J. Med. 2005; 353:1685-1693.
- (2) Goss C.H., Brower R.G., Hudson L.D., et al. Incidence of acute lung injury in the United States. Crit. Care Med. 2003; 31:1607-1611
- (3) Ashbaugh D.G., Bigelow D.B., Petty T.L., et al. Acute respiratory distress in adults. Lancet 1967;2:319-323.
- (4) Petty T.L., Ashbaugh D.G. The adult respiratory distress syndrome. Clinical features, factors influencing prognosis and principles of management. Chest 1971; 60:233-239.
- (5) Murray J.F, Matthay M.A., Luce J.M., et al. An expanded definition of the adult respiratory distress syndrome. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 1988; 138:720-723.
- (6) Bernard G.R., Artigas A., Brigham K.L., et al. The American-European Consensus Conference on ARDS: Definitions, mechanisms, relevant outcomes, and clinical trial coordination. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1994; 149:818-824.
- (7) Ware L.B., Matthay M.A.The acute respiratory distress syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 2000; 342:1334-1349.
- (8) Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. The Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. N. Engl. J. Med. 2000; 342:1301-1308.
- (9) Bone R.C., Maunder R., Slotman G., et al. An early test of survival in patients with the adult respiratory distress syndrome. The PaO2/FIo2 ratio and its differential response to conventional therapy. Prostaglandin E1 Study Group. Chest 1989; 96:849-851.
- Brun-Buisson C., Minelli C., Bertolini G., et al. Epidemiology and outcome of acute lung injury in European intensive care units. Results from the ALIVE study. Intensive Care Med. 2004; 30:51-61.
- (11) Rice T.W., Wheeler A.P., Bernard G.R., et al. Comparison of the SpO2/FIO2 ratio and the PaO2/FIO2 ratio in patients with acute lung injury or ARDS. Chest 2007; 132:410-417.
- (12) Khemani R.G., Patel N.R., Bart R.D. 3rd., et al. Comparison of the pulse oximetric saturation/fraction of inspired oxygen ratio and the PaO2/fraction of inspired oxygen ratio in children. Chest 2009; 135:662-668.
- (13) Garber B.G., Hebert P.C., Yelle J.D., et al. Adult respiratory distress syndrome: a systemic overview of incidence and risk factors. Crit. Care Med. 1996; 24:687-695.
- (14) Ferguson N.D., Frutos-Vivar F., Esteban A., et al. Clinical risk conditions for acute lung injury in the intensive care unit and hospital ward: a prospective observational study. Crit. Care 2007; 11:R96.
- (15) Matthay M.A., Zimmerman G.A., Esmon C., et al. Future research directions in acute lung injury: summary of a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute working group. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2003; 167:1027-1035.
- (16) Hudson L.D., Milberg J.A., Anardi D., et al. Clinical risks for development of the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1995; 151:293-301.
- (17) Gajic O., Moore S.B. Transfusion-related acute lung injury. Mayo Clin Proc 2005; 80:766-770.
- (18) Silliman C.C., Paterson A.J., Dickey W.O., et al. The association of biologically active lipids with the development of transfusionrelated acute lung injury: a retrospective study. Transfusion 1997; 37:719-726.
- (19) Iscimen R., Cartin-Ceba R., Yilmaz M., et al. Risk factors for the development of acute lung injury in patients with septic shock: an observational cohort study. Crit Care Med 2008; 36:1518-1522.
- (20) Fernandez-Perez E.R., Sprung J., Afessa B., et al. Intraoperative ventilator settings and acute lung injury after elective surgery: a nested case control study. Thorax 2009; 64:121-127.

- (21) Gajic O., Dara S.I., Mendez J.L., et al. Ventilator-associated lung injury in patients without acute lung injury at the onset of mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med 2004; 32:1817-1824.
- (22) Gajic O., Frutos-Vivar F., Esteban A., et al. Ventilator settings as a risk factor for acute respiratory distress syndrome in mechanically ventilated patients. Intensive Care Med. 2005; 31:922-926.
- (23) Khan H., Belsher J., Yilmaz M., et al. Fresh-frozen plasma and platelet transfusions are associated with development of acute lung injury in critically ill medical patients. Chest 2007; 131:1308-1314.
- (24) Gajic O., Rana R., Winters J.L., et al. Transfusion-related acute lung injury in the critically ill: prospective nested case-control study. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2007; 176:886-891.
- (25) TenHoor T., Mannino D.M., Moss M. Risk factors for ARDS in the United States: analysis of the 1993 National Mortality Followback Study. Chest 2001; 119:1179-1184.
- (26) Moss M., Guidot D.M., Steinberg K.P., et al. Diabetic patients have a decreased incidence of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med 2000; 28:2187-2192.
- (27) Chen CY, Lee CH, Liu CY., et al. Clinical features and outcomes of severe acute respiratory syndrome and predictive factors for acute respiratory distress syndrome. J. Chinese Med. Association 2005; 68:4-10.
- (28) Iribarren C., Jacobs D.R., Jr., Sidney S., et al. Cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, and risk of ARDS: a 15-year cohort study in a managed care setting. Chest 2000; 117:163-168.
- (29) Moss M., Bucher B., Moore F.A., et al. The role of chronic alcohol abuse in the development of acute respiratory distress syndrome in adults. JAMA 1996; 275:50-54.
- (30) Matthay M.A., Folkesson H.G., Clerici C. Lung epithelial fluid transport and the resolution of pulmonary edema. Physiol. Rev. 2002; 82:569-600.
- (31) Bachofen M., Weibel E.R. Structural alterations of lung parenchyma in the adult respiratory distress syndrome. Clin. Chest. Med. 1982; 3:35-56.
- (32) Mizgerd J.P. Acute Lower Respiratory Tract Infection. N. Engl. J. Med. 2008; 358:716-727.
- (33) Roumen R.M., Hendriks T., van der Ven-Jongekrijg J., et al. Cytokine patterns in patients after major vascular surgery, hemorrhagic shock, and severe blunt trauma. Relation with subsequent adult respiratory distress syndrome and multiple organ failure. Ann. Surg. 1993; 218:769-776.
- (34) Donnelly T.J., Meade P., Jagels M., et al. Cytokine, complement, and endotoxin profiles associated with the development of the adult respiratory distress syndrome after severe injury. Crit. Care Med. 1994; 22:768-776.
- (35) Suter P.M., Suter S., Girardin E., et al. High bronchoalveolar levels of tumor necrosis factor and its inhibitors, interleukin-1, interferon, and elastase, in patients with adult respiratory distress syndrome after trauma, shock, or sepsis. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 1992; 145:1016-1022.
- (36) Gong M.N., Zhou W., Williams P.L., et al. -308GA and TNFB polymorphisms in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Eur. Respir. J. 2005; 26:382-389.
- (37) Schultz M.J., Haitsma J.J., Zhang H., et al. Pulmonary coagulopathy as a new target in therapeutic studies of acute lung injury or pneumonia--a review. Crit. Care Med. 2006; 34:871-877.
- (38) Castro C.Y. ARDS and diffuse alveolar damage: a pathologist's perspective. Semin. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 2006; 18:13-19.
- (39) Katzenstein A.L., Bloor C.M., Leibow A.A., Diffuse alveolar damage--the role of oxygen, shock, and related factors. A review. Am. J. Pathol. 1976; 85:209-228.

- (40) Esteban A., Fernandez-Segoviano P., Frutos-Vivar F., et al. Comparison of clinical criteria for the acute respiratory distress syndrome with autopsy findings. Ann. Int. Med. 2004; 141.
- (41) de Hemptinne Q., Remmelink M., Brimioulle S., et al. ARDS: a clinicopathological confrontation. Chest 2009; 135:944-949.
- (42) Rubenfeld G.D., Caldwell E., Granton J., et al. Interobserver variability in applying a radiographic definition for ARDS. Chest 1999; 116:1347-1353.
- (43) Ely E.W., Smith A.C., Chiles C., et al. Radiologic determination of intravascular volume status using portable, digital chest radiography: a prospective investigation in 100 patients. Crit. Care Med. 2001; 29:1502-1512.
- (44) Wheeler A.P., Bernard G.R. Acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome: a clinical review. Lancet 2007; 369:1553-1564.
- (45) Goodman L.R., Fumagalli R., Tagliabue P., et al. Adult respiratory distress syndrome due to pulmonary and extrapulmonary causes: CT, clinical, and functional correlations. Radiology 1999; 213:545-552.
- (46) Lichtenstein D.A., Meziere G.A. Relevance of lung ultrasound in the diagnosis of acute respiratory failure: the BLUE protocol. Chest 2008; 134:117-125.
- (47) Rana R., Vlahakis N.E., Daniels C.E., et al. B-type natriuretic peptide in the assessment of acute lung injury and cardiogenic pulmonary edema. Crit. Care Med. 2006; 34:1941-1946.
- (48) Ferguson N.D., Meade M.O., Hallett D.C., et al. High values of the pulmonary artery wedge pressure in patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Intensive Care Med. 2002; 28:1073-1077.
- (49) Daniels L.B., Maisel A.S. Natriuretic peptides. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2007; 50:2357-2368.
- (50) Leaver S.K., Evans T.W. Acute respiratory distress syndrome. BMJ 2007; 335:389-394.
- (51) Patel S.R., Karmpaliotis D., Ayas N.T., et al. The role of open-lung biopsy in ARDS. Chest 2004; 125:197-202.
- (52) Baumann H.J., Kluge S., Balke L., et al. Yield and safety of bedside open lung biopsy in mechanically ventilated patients with acute lung injury or acute respiratory distress syndrome. Surgery 2008; 143:426-433.
- (53) Charbonney E., Robert J., Pache J.C., et al. Impact of bedside open lung biopsies on the management of mechanically ventilated immunocompromised patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome of unknown etiology. J. Crit. Care 2009; 24:122-128.
- (54) Webb H.H., Tierney D.F. Experimental pulmonary edema due to intermittent positive pressure ventilation with high inflation pressures. Protection by positive end-expiratory pressure. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 1974; 110:556-565.
- (55) Parker J.C., Townsley M.I., Rippe B., et al. Increased microvascular permeability in dog lungs due to high peak airway pressures. J. Appl. Physiol. 1984; 57:1809-1816.
- (56) Dreyfuss D., Soler P., Basset G., et al. High inflation pressure pulmonary edema. Respective effects of high airway pressure, high tidal volume, and positive end-expiratory pressure. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 1988; 137:1159-1164.
- (57) Dreyfuss D., Basset G., Soler P., et al. Intermittent positive-pressure hyperventilation with high inflation pressures produces pulmonary microvascular injury in rats. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 1985; 132:880-884.
- (58) Dreyfuss D., Soler P., Saumon G. Mechanical ventilation-induced pulmonary edema. Interaction with previous lung alterations. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1995; 151:1568-1575.
- (59) Muscedere J.G., Mullen J.B., Gan K., et al. Tidal ventilation at low airway pressures can augment lung injury. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1994; 149:1327-1334.

- (60) Corbridge T.C., Wood L.D., Crawford G.P., et al. Adverse effects of large tidal volume and low PEEP in canine acid aspiration. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 1990; 142:311-315.
- (61) Tremblay L.N., Slutsky A.S. Ventilator-induced injury: from barotrauma to biotrauma. Proc. Assoc. Am. Physicians. 1998; 110:482-488.
- (62) Tremblay L., Valenza F., Ribeiro SP., et al. Injurious ventilatory strategies increase cytokines and c-fos m-RNA expression in an isolated rat lung model. J. Clin. Invest. 1997; 99:944-952.
- (63) Imai Y., Kawano T., Miyasaka K., et al. Inflammatory chemical mediators during conventional ventilation and during high frequency oscillatory ventilation. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1994; 150:1550-1554.
- (64) Ranieri V.M., Suter P.M., Tortorella C., et al. Effect of mechanical ventilation on inflammatory mediators in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1999; 282:54-61.
- (65) Girard T.D., Bernard G.R. Mechanical ventilation in ARDS: a state-of-the-art review. Chest 2007; 131:921-929.
- (66) Slutsky A.S., Tremblay L.N. Multiple system organ failure. Is mechanical ventilation a contributing factor? Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1998; 157:1721-1725.
- (67) Hamilton P., Onayemi A., Smyth J.A., et al. Comparison of conventional and high-frequency ventilation: oxygenation and lung pathology. J Appl Physiol 1983; 55:131-138.
- (68) Chan K.P., Stewart T.E., Mehta S. High-frequency oscillatory ventilation for adult patients with ARDS. Chest 2007; 131:1907-1916.
- (69) Peek GJ., Clemens F., Elbourne D., et al. CESAR: conventional ventilatory support vs extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe adult respiratory failure. BMC Health Serv. Res. 2006; 6:163.
- (70) Liesching T., Kwok H., Hill N.S. Acute applications of noninvasive positive pressure ventilation. Chest 2003; 124:699-713.
- (71) Rana S., Jenad H., Gay P.C., et al. Failure of non-invasive ventilation in patients with acute lung injury: observational cohort study. Crit. Care 2006; 10:R79.
- (72) Wiedemann H.P., Wheeler A.P., Bernard G.R., et al. Comparison of two fluid-management strategies in acute lung injury. N. Engl. J. Med. 2006; 354:2564-2575.
- (73) Rosenberg A.L., Dechert R.E., Park P.K., et al. Review of a large clinical series: association of cumulative fluid balance on outcome in acute lung injury: a retrospective review of the ARDSnet tidal volume study cohort. J. Intensive Care Med. 2009; 24:35-46.
- (74) Meduri G.U., Golden E., Freire A.X., et al. Methylprednisolone infusion in early severe ARDS: results of a randomized controlled trial. Chest 2007; 131:954-963.
- (75) Steinberg K.P., Hudson L.D., Goodman R.B., et al. Efficacy and safety of corticosteroids for persistent acute respiratory distress syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 2006; 354:1671-1684.
- (76) Tang B.M., Craig J.C., Eslick G.D., et al. Use of corticosteroids in acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit. Care Med. 2009; 37:1594-1603.
- (77) Hermans G., De Jonghe B., Bruyninckx F., et al. Interventions for preventing critical illness polyneuropathy and critical illness myopathy. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2009;CD006832
- (78) Taut F.J., Rippin G., Schenk P., et al. A Search for subgroups of patients with ARDS who may benefit from surfactant replacement therapy: a pooled analysis of five studies with recombinant surfactant protein-C surfactant (Venticute). Chest 2008; 134:724-732.
- (79) Piantadosi C.A., Schwartz D.A. The acute respiratory distress syndrome. Ann. Intern. Med. 2004; 141:460-470.

- (80) Montgomery A.B., Stager M.A., Carrico C.J., et al. Causes of mortality in patients with the adult respiratory distress syndrome. Am. Rev. Respir. Dis. 1985; 132:485-489.
- (81) Nuckton T.J., Alonso J.A., Kallet R.H., et al. Pulmonary deadspace fraction as a risk factor for death in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. N. Engl. J. Med. 2002; 346:1281-1286.
- (82) Stapleton R.D., Wang B.M., Hudson L.D., et al. Causes and timing of death in patients with ARDS. Chest 2005; 128:525-532.
- (83) Suchyta M.R., Clemmer T.P., Elliott C.G., et al. Increased mortality of older patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Chest 1997; 111:1334-1339.
- (84) McHugh L.G., Milberg J.A., Whitcomb M.E., et al. Recovery of function in survivors of the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 1994; 150:90-94.

- (85) Rubenfeld G.D., Herridge M.S. Epidemiology and outcomes of acute lung injury. Chest 2007; 131:554-562.
- (86) Gong M.N., Thompson B.T., Williams P., et al. Clinical predictors of and mortality in acute respiratory distress syndrome: potential role of red cell transfusion. Crit. Care. Med. 2005; 33:1191-1198.
- (87) Yilmaz M., Iscimen R., Keegan M.T., et al. Six-month survival of patients with acute lung injury: prospective cohort study. Crit. Care Med. 2007; 35:2303-2307.
- (88) Seeley E., McAuley D.F., Eisner M., et al. Predictors of mortality in acute lung injury during the era of lung protective ventilation. Thorax 2008; 63:994-998.
- (89) Bajwa E.K., Januzzi J.L., Gong M.N., et al. Prognostic value of plasma N-terminal probrain natriuretic peptide levels in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit. Care Med. 2008; 36:2322-2327.