RESEARCH ARTICLE

Jun-APOE-LRP1 axis promotes tumor metastasis in colorectal cancer

Lingyuan He ^[1,2,3], Mengchen Shi ^[1,2,3], Shuwei Ren ^[1,2,3], Jingdan Zhang ^[1,2,3], Yu Tian ^[1,2,3], Xiangling Yang ^{[1,2,3*}, and Huanliang Liu ^{[1,2,3*}]

Apolipoprotein E (apoE) has previously been reported to play vital roles in tumor progression. However, the impact of apoE on colorectal cancer (CRC) metastasis remains largely unexplored. This study aimed to investigate the role of apoE in CRC metastasis and to identify the transcription factor and receptor of apoE involved in the regulation of CRC metastasis. Bioinformatic analyses were conducted to examine the expression pattern and prognosis of apolipoproteins. *APOE*-overexpressing cell lines were utilized to explore the effects of apoE on proliferation, migration, and invasion of CRC cells. Additionally, the transcription factor and receptor of apoE were screened via bioinformatics, and further validated through knockdown experiments. We discovered that the mRNA levels of *APOC1, APOC2, APOD,* and *APOE* were higher in the lymphatic invasion group, and a higher *APOE* mRNA level indicated poorer overall survival and progression-free interval. In vitro studies demonstrated that *APOE*-overexpression was modulated by the transcription factor Jun by activating the proximal promoter region of *APOE,* and *APOE*-overexpression reversed the metastasis suppression of *JUN* knockdown. Furthermore, bioinformatics analysis suggested an interaction between apoE and low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1). *LRP1* was highly expressed in both the lymphatic invasion group and the *APOE*^{High} group. Additionally, we found that *APOE*-overexpression upregulated LRP1 protein levels, and *LRP1* knockdown attenuated the metastasis-promoting function of *APOE*. Overall, our study suggests that the Jun-APOE-LRP1 axis contributes to tumor metastasis in CRC.

Keywords: Apolipoproteins, colorectal cancer (CRC), transcription factor, lipoprotein receptor (LRP), metastasis.

Introduction

Despite tremendous advances in diagnosis and treatment, colorectal cancer (CRC) still ranks third in incidence and is the second leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. As a multistage and multifactorial process, CRC metastasis remains a major cause of high mortality and poor prognosis. Recent studies have reported that abnormal lipid metabolism is involved in the progression of CRC, and many genes related to lipid metabolism have been found to play an oncogenic role [2-6]. Apolipoprotein E (apoE) is a secreted protein involved in lipid metabolism and in the development of Alzheimer's disease and atherosclerosis [7, 8]. Moreover, its additional role in tumor progression has been revealed. Zheng et al. [9] reported that apoE encapsulated in exosomes from tumor-associated macrophages promoted gastric cancer cell migration, indicating the protumoral role of apoE. In contrast, Pencheva et al. [10] identified three miRNAs targeting apoE as factors contributing to melanoma metastasis, suggesting that apoE is a metastasis suppressor. Evidently, apoE is likely to play different roles in different tumors. In recent years, abnormally high expression of apoE has been detected in the serum and tumor tissues of CRC patients [11, 12]. However, the regulation of CRC metastasis and progression by apoE and the underlying mechanisms still remain unclear.

APOE transcription is regulated by transcriptional regulatory elements, such as the proximal promoter, proximal enhancer, and distal enhancer, which contain binding sites for transcription factors [13]. It has been reported that cerebellar zinc finger protein 1 and 2 can bind to upstream regulatory element 1 (URE1), while upstream stimulator 1 and BK viral enhancer factor 1 can bind to URE3. In addition, liver-X-nuclear hormone receptor (LXR) and retinoid X receptor can bind to multi-enhancers 1 and 2. In addition, transcription factors, such as activating proteins 1 and 2, and nuclear factor-KB can also regulate the expression of apoE [13]. The transcriptional regulation of APOE has its specificity in relation to tissues and cells. Trusca et al. [14] showed that repressors can inhibit transcription of APOE in hepatocytes after binding of glucocorticoids to glucocorticoid elements in the APOE promoter, but not in macrophages. Notably, APOE transcription in neuronal cells cannot translate the splice variant APOE-I3 formed by retention of intron 3, but cytokines secreted by astrocytes under

*Correspondence to Xiangling Yang: yangxl28@mail.sysu.edu.cn; Huanliang Liu: liuhuanl@mail.sysu.edu.cn

¹Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; ²Department of General Surgery, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China; ³Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Colorectal and Pelvic Floor Diseases, Guangdong Institute of Gastroenterology, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China.

DOI: 10.17305/bb.2023.9248

^{© 2023} He et al. This article is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution 4.0 International, as described at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

stress can promote the conversion of *APOE-I3* to normal *APOE* mRNA [15]. In CRC cells, the transcriptional regulation of *APOE* is not yet known.

It has been reported that apoE binds to a group of proteins known as the low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) family, which mainly includes the LDLR, the very-LDLR (VLDLR), and LDLR-related proteins (LRPs) [16]. As one of the subtypes of LRPs, lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1) is a mono-glycosylated protein composed of 4544 amino acids and has a molecular weight of approximately 600 kDa. In the presence of furin, LRP1 was cleaved into an extracellular subunit of 515 kDa and a transmembrane subunit of 85 kDa [17]. LRP1 is essential for early embryonic development, and knockout of LRP1 was found to have lethal effects in mice [18]. In addition, brain-specific knockout of LRP1 significantly impaired synaptic signaling in the nervous system [19]. LRP1 has also been shown to be involved in cell adhesion and motility. Wujak et al. [20] reported that LRP1 can interact with integrin- β 1 and promote the activation and subsequent degradation of integrin- β 1, thereby promoting cell migration. Another study showed that plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 produced by adipose stromal cells can interact with LRP1 and inhibit the connection between TGF- β /SMAD4 and cell adhesion complexes, promoting the development of obesity-related endometrial cancer [21]. Whether LRP1 is involved in the process of CRC metastasis remains unclear.

Here, we investigated the expression pattern and prognostic value of *APOE* in CRC using online databases. We also investigated the effects of *APOE* on proliferation and metastasis of CRC cells in vitro. In addition, we identified the transcription factor that activates the expression of *APOE* and the receptor that mediates the metastasis-promoting function of apoE.

Materials and methods

Online databases

The expression profiles and corresponding clinical characteristics of the indicated genes (*APOE*, *JUN*, and *LRPI*) in CRC samples were downloaded from UCSC Xena (https:// xenabrowser.net/heatmap/). Data for the assay for targeting accessible chromatin with high-throughput sequencing (ATACseq) of colon adenocarcinoma were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data portal (https://gdc.cancer. gov/about-data/publications/ATACseq-AWG). Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) data of H3K27ac, H3K4me3, and *JUN* in CRC cell lines were obtained from Cistrome DB (http://cistrome.org/db//#/). The interactions between apoE and the potential receptors were predicted from the STRING database (https://cn.string-db.org/cgi/input? sessionId=be2jwlEbiqJ1&input/_page/_show/_search=on).

Construction of APOE-overexpressing stable cell lines and cell culture

Human colon cancer cell lines HCT116 and HCT8 (American Type Culture Collection, USA) were maintained in RPMI 1640 (Gibco, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco). All cells were cultured at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. HCT116 and HCT8 cells were infected with *APOE*-overexpression lentivirus and vector control lentivirus (Shanghai Genechem Co., Ltd., China). The infected cells were probed with an appropriate concentration of puromycin (Gibco) for two weeks. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) and western blot were used to evaluate the efficiency of overexpression of apoE.

Cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) and colony formation assay

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates $(5 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/well})$, and proliferation ability was assessed using a CCK-8 kit (KeyGEN, China) at the indicated time points (0 h, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h). For the colony formation assay, 1×10^3 cells were seeded in 6-well plates. After 14 days, the culture medium was removed, and the cells were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline and fixed in methanol for 10 min. Cells were then stained with crystal violet solution (Beyotime, China) for 10 min. Colonies were counted using ImageJ.

Transwell assay

Tumor cell migration and invasion were studied by transwell assay using 24-well Boyden chambers (Falcon, USA) with polycarbonate membranes with a pore size of 8.0 μ m with or without Matrigel. In brief, 2×10^5 tumor cells were seeded into the upper chamber, while the culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. After incubation for the appropriate time, the migrated or invaded cells were fixed with methanol, stained with crystal violet, and photographed under the microscope. The migrated and invaded cells were counted using ImageJ.

siRNAs and plasmids transfection

The siRNAs targeting LRP1 and JUN and the negative controls were synthesized by RiboBio (China). Plasmids pLV-APOE and pLV-Vector were purchased from Shanghai Genechem Co., Ltd., while pcDNA3.1-JUN, pcDNA3.1-Vector, and pGL4.10-APOE were purchased from WZ Biosciences Inc. (China). Renilla luciferase reporter plasmid pRL-TK was properly stored by our laboratory. Tumor cells were transfected with siRNAs or plasmids using Lipofectamine® RNAiMAX or LipofectamineTM 3000 (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequences of siRNAs were as follows: si-LRP1-1 sense: GUUUCGAGGUGGUGAUUCATT; si-LRP1-1 antisense: UGAAUCACCACCUCGAAACTT; si-LRP1-2 sense: GAGGAAC-CGUUUCUGAUCUTT; si-LRP1-2 antisense: AGAUCAGAAACG-GUUCCUCTT; si-JUN-1 sense: UCUACGCAAACCUCAGCAATT; si-JUN-1 antisense: UUGCUGAGGUUUGCGUAGATT; si-JUN-2 sense: UCAUGCUAACGCAGCAGUUTT; si-JUN-1 antisense: AACUGCUGCGUUAGCAUGATT.

Luciferase reporter assay

The firefly luciferase plasmid pGL4.10-APOE covers the promoter region of APOE (from -2000 bp of the transcription start site to +100 bp). The Renilla luciferase reporter plasmid pRL-TK was used as a reference control. A total of 1×10^4 tumor cells were seeded in a 96-well plate and transfected with 100 ng pGL4.10-APOE, 100 ng pcDNA3.1-JUN (or pcDNA3.1 vector), and 10 ng pRL-TK. At 24 h after transfection, luciferase activity was determined using a Dual-Luciferase Assay System (Promega, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)

Total RNA was extracted from cells using an RNA Quick Purification Kit (ES science, China) and transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using the PrimeScriptTM RT reagent kit with cDNA Eraser (Takara, Japan). The cDNA was subjected to qPCR using TB Green Premix Ex Taq (Takara). *GAPDH* was used as a reference control. The sequences of primers were as follows: human *APOE*-forward 5'-GGTCGCTTTTGGGAT TACCT-3' and reverse 5'-CCTTCAACTCCTTCATGGTCTC-3'; human *LRPI*-forward 5'-CTATCGACGCCCCTAAGACTT-3' and reverse 5'-CATCGCTGGGCCTTACTCT-3'; human *JUN*-forward 5'-AACAGGTGGCACAGCTTAAAC-3' and reverse 5'-CAACT GCTGCGTTAGCATGAG-3'; human *GAPDH*-forward 5'-GTC TCCTCTGACTTCAACAGCG-3' and reverse 5'-ACCACCCTGTTG CTGTAGCCAA-3'.

Western blot

Total protein was extracted from cells using RIPA buffer (Beyotime) containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Key-GEN). Cell lysates were centrifuged at 12000 g and 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatants were collected and measured using a BCA Protein Assay Kit, Pierce® (Thermo, USA). Equal amounts of proteins mixed with $1 \times \text{loading buffer (Beyotime) were}$ subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to 0.45 µm polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore, USA). After blocking with 5% bovine serum albumin (Biotopped, China) at room temperature for 1 h, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Finally, a chemiluminescence kit (Meilunbio, China) was used to detect immunoreactive protein bands. The primary and secondary antibodies are as follows: anti-apoE (1:1000, 13366S; Cell Signaling Technology, USA), anti-c-Jun (1:1000, 9165S; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-c-Jun (1:1000, ab32385; Abcam, UK), anti-LRP1 (1:1000, DF2935-50; Affinity, China), anti-β-actin (1:5000, 66009-1-Ig-100UL; Proteintech, China), anti-GAPDH (1:5000, 10494-1-AP; Proteintech), anti-mouse IgG (1:5000, E030110-01; Earthox, China), anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000, E030120-01; Earthox).

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Intracellular and extracellular apoE levels of tumor cells were determined using a commercial ELISA kit (Meimian, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Absorbance values of the samples were measured at 450 nm within 10 min after completion of the reaction.

Ethical statement

This manuscript does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Statistical analysis

Two-sample *t*-tests and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare two independent groups. Survival functions were

evaluated with the Kaplan–Meier plotter and compared with the log-rank test. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 8.0 software. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

APOE is overexpressed in colorectal (CRC) patients with lymphatic invasion and indicates poor prognosis

To illuminate the molecular characteristics of apolipoproteins in CRC, we initially analyzed the expression pattern of 11 apolipoproteins (apolipoprotein A1 [*APOA1*], apolipoprotein A2 [*APOA2*], apolipoprotein A4 [*APOA4*], apolipoprotein A5 [*APOA5*], apolipoprotein B [*APOB*], apolipoprotein C1 [*APOC1*], apolipoprotein C2 [*APOC2*], apolipoprotein C3 [*APOC3*], apolipoprotein C4 [*APOC4*], apolipoprotein D [*APOD*], and *APOE*) in CRC patients with or without lymphatic invasion using the UCSC Xena database (Figure 1A). We found that *APOC1*, *APOC2*, *APOD*, and *APOE* mRNA levels were significantly higher in the group with lymphatic invasion than in the group without lymphatic invasion, whereas the mRNA levels of the remaining apolipoproteins were not significantly different between the two groups (Figure 1B).

To further determine the potential prognostic value of the apolipoproteins that were abnormally expressed in the lymphatic invasion group, we assessed the overall survival (OS) and progression-free interval (PFI) of CRC patients according to the mRNA level of each apolipoprotein using the Kaplan-Meier plotter. The OS of the high APOD and high APOE groups was shorter than that of the low APOD and low APOE groups (Figure 1C). However, it was found that only high APOE was associated with worse PFI (Figure 1D). Overall, these results suggest that APOE is a facilitating factor for the progression of CRC. Therefore, APOE was prioritized for further biological and mechanistic studies.

APOE promotes CRC cell migration and invasion in vitro

To investigate the effects of APOE on CRC, HCT116 and HCT8 cells were selected to generate stable APOE-overexpressing cell lines. The efficiency of APOE-overexpression was confirmed at both mRNA (Figure 2A) and protein (Figure 2B) levels. The CCK-8 assay showed that the cell proliferation rates of HCT116 and HCT8 cells were comparable between the control and overexpression groups (Figure 2C). Accordingly, the colony formation assay showed that the colony-forming ability of APOE-overexpressing HCT116 cells was significantly stronger than that of the control group, whereas there was no significant difference between the control and overexpression groups for HCT8 cells (Figure 2D and 2E). These results indicate that APOE-overexpression has almost no significant effect on the proliferation of HCT116 and HCT8 cells. We also performed a transwell assay to investigate the role of APOE on cell motility. Remarkably, the migrated and invaded cells of the overexpression groups were significantly higher than those of the control groups in both HCT116 and HCT8 cells (Figure 2F and 2G), indicating that overexpression of APOE promotes the migration and invasion of CRC cells.



Figure 1. APOE is overexpressed in CRC patients with lymphatic invasion and indicates poor prognosis. (A) Heatmap of apolipoproteins mRNA levels in CRC samples with or without lymphatic invasion; (B) Comparison of apolipoproteins mRNA levels between CRC samples with or without lymphatic invasion. (C and D) Kaplan–Meier plots of the overall survival and progression-free interval based on the mRNA levels of APOC1, APOC2, APOD and APOE. Data were obtained from the UCSC Xena database. APOA1: Apolipoprotein A1; APOA2: Apolipoprotein A2; APOA4: Apolipoprotein A4; APOA5: Apolipoprotein A5; APOB: Apolipoprotein B; APOC1: Apolipoprotein C1; APOC2: Apolipoprotein C2; APOC3: Apolipoprotein C3; APOC4: Apolipoprotein C4; APOD: Apolipoprotein D; APOE: Apolipoprotein E; CRC: Colorectal cancer; *ns*: No significance.

Jun activates APOE-expression in CRC cells

Having clarified the biological significance of *APOE* in CRC, we sought to investigate the molecular basis of *APOE*-expression. Gene expression is strictly modulated by transcription factors. It has been previously reported that c-Jun encoded by *JUN* regulates *APOE* expression in hepatocytes and macrophages. Here, we investigated the transcriptional regulation of *APOE* expression by Jun in CRC cells. First, UCSC Xena data showed that tumor tissues had higher *JUN* mRNA levels than normal tissues (Figure 3A). Then, ATAC-seq data from TCGA showed that the

promoter region of *APOE* in CRC samples had high chromatin accessibility (top 4 red tracks, Figure 3B). Further analysis of ChIP-seq data from the CRC cell lines showed that the promoter region of *APOE* had consistent H3K27 ac and H3K4 me3 signals (tracks 5–10, Figure 3B). As expected, we also found that Jun had readily detectable signals in the *APOE* promoter region (green track, Figure 3B).

To further clarify the transcriptional regulatory effect of Jun on *APOE*-expression, we knocked down *JUN* in HCT116 and HCT8 cells. We found that both mRNA and protein levels of



Figure 2. *APOE-overexpression promotes CRC cell migration and invasion in vitro.* The efficiency of *APOE-overexpression* in HCT116 and HCT8 cells were validated by qPCR (A) and western blot (B); (C) The cell proliferation curves of HCT116 and HCT8 cells with or without *APOE-overexpression* evaluated by CCK-8 assay; (D) Representative images of colony formation assays; (E) Quantitative results of colony formation assays. The colony numbers were counted using Image]; (F) Representative images of migration and invasion assays of HCT116 and HCT8 cell lines in the presence or absence of *APOE-overexpression*; (G) Quantitative results of migration and invasion assays. The migrated and invaded cells were counted using ImageJ. apoE: Apolipoprotein E; CCK-8: Cell counting Kit-8; CRC: Colorectal cancer; OD: Optical density; OE: Overexpression; qPCR: Quantitative polymerase chain reaction; VC: Vector control; ns: No significance.

APOE were significantly downregulated (Figure 3C and 3D). The level of p-c-Jun was also decreased when JUN was knocked down (Figure 3D). In addition, we examined the effect of JUN on the transcriptional activity of the APOE promoter using a dual-luciferase reporter assay. We found that the level of Firefly luciferase/Renilla luciferase was significantly higher in the JUN-overexpression group than in the control group (Figure 3E), indicating that JUN positively regulates APOEexpression.

APOE-overexpression reverses the metastasis suppression of JUN knockdown

The above findings suggest that *APOE* promotes CRC metastasis, but the regulation of Jun, which acts as a transcription factor of *APOE* on the migration and invasion of CRC cells, remains unknown. To clarify this, we performed transwell assays after knocking down *JUN* in HCT116 and HCT8 cells with siRNAs. We found that the migration and invasion abilities of HCT116 and HCT8 cells were significantly reduced after knockdown of



Figure 3. Jun activates APOE-expression in CRC cells. (A) Comparison of JUN mRNA levels between normal and tumor samples. Data were obtained from the UCSC Xena database; (B) Representative ATAC-seq and ChIP-seq signals in the APOE promoter region. The ATAC-seq data of CRC patients were downloaded from the TCGA data portal. ChIP-seq data for H3K4me3, H3K27ac, and JUN were downloaded from the Cistrome DB database; (C and D) qPCR and western blot were performed to determine the APOE mRNA and protein levels following knockdown of JUN in HCT116 and HCT8 cell lines; (E) HCT116 and HCT8 cells were cotransfected with pGL4.10-APOE, pcDNA3.1-JUN (or pcDNA3.1 vector), and pRL-TK, and the luciferase activity was determined 24 h post-transfection. Firefly luciferase/Renilla luciferase was used to indicate promoter activity. apoE: Apolipoprotein E; ATAC-seq: Assay for targeting accessible chromatin with high-throughput sequencing; ChIP-seq: Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing; COAD: Colon adenocarcinoma; CRC: Colorectal cancer; TCGA: The Cancer Genome Atlas; qPCR: Quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

JUN (Figure 4A and 4B). However, after transient transfection with *APOE*-overexpressing plasmids in HCT116 and HCT8 cells, the inhibitory effects on migration and invasion caused by JUN knockdown were partially or completely reversed. Moreover, treatment of HCT116 and HCT8 cells with 2 μ g/mL recombinant *APOE3* (rAPOE3) for 24 h also significantly reversed the inhibitory effects of JUN knockdown on migration and invasion. Overall, these results demonstrated that *APOE*-overexpression reversed the metastasis suppression caused by knockdown of JUN

LRP1 is highly expressed in CRC patients with lymphatic invasion and high *APOE* level, and *APOE*-overexpression upregulates LRP1 protein level

Because apoE is a secreted protein, we determined intracellular and extracellular levels of apoE in *APOE*-overexpressing CRC cells by ELISA. We found that the extracellular apoE level was much higher than the intracellular apoE level, indicating that most of the apoE was secreted from the cell (Figure 5A). Therefore, we suspected that there might be a putative receptor that mediates the metastasis-promoting function of apoE. To identify this putative receptor, we first used the online database STRING to predict the proteins that interact with apoE. Ten proteins with high combined scores were obtained, including six apolipoproteins and four transmembrane receptors (Figure 5B, Table 1). The interaction between amyloid precursor protein (APP) and apoE has been mainly reported to play a role in Alzheimer's disease [22], while LDLR has been mainly associated with lipid transport [23] and LRP8 is an important receptor for signal transduction in the Reelin pathway [24]. It is worth noting that LRP1 is a multifunctional receptor that has been shown to be involved in the pathological processes of cancer [25]. Therefore, we focused on LRP1. Analysis of the UCSC Xena database showed that the LRP1 mRNA level was significantly higher in the group with lymphatic invasion than in the group without lymphatic invasion (Figure 5C). In addition, the LRP1 mRNA level of the APOE^{High} group (median APOE mRNA level > 10.24) was significantly higher than that of the



Figure 4. *APOE-overexpression reverses the metastasis suppression of JUN knockdown.* (A) Following knockdown of *JUN*, HCT116, and HCT8 cells were transfected with pLV-*APOE* (pLV-Vector) or treated with 2 µg/mL rAPOE3. Then, transwell assays were performed. Representative migration and invasion images are shown; (B) Quantitative results of transwell assays. The migrated and invaded cells were counted using ImageJ. *APOE*: Apolipoprotein E; rAPOE3: Recombinant *APOE3*; ns: No significance.

Table 1.	Combined	l score of	proteins	interacting	g with	h apoE
----------	----------	------------	----------	-------------	--------	--------

Ар	olipoprotein	Transmembrane receptor		
Protein	Combined score	Protein	Combined score	
ароВ	0.996	LDLR	0.996	
apoC2	0.993	APP	0.995	
apoC1	0.992	LRP1	0.989	
apoA1	0.991	LRP8	0.987	
apoA2	0.985			
apoC3	0.984			

Combined score was analyzed using the STRING database. apoA1: Apolipoprotein A1; apoA2: Apolipoprotein A2; apoB: Apolipoprotein B; apoC1: Apolipoprotein C1; apoC2: Apolipoprotein C2; apoC3: Apolipoprotein C3; apoE: Apolipoprotein E; LDLR: Low-density lipoprotein receptor; APP: Amyloid precursor protein; LRP1: Lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1; LRP8: Lipoprotein receptor-related protein 8.

APOE^{Low} group (median APOE mRNA level < 10.24) (Figure 5D). In addition, we determined the *LRP1* mRNA and protein levels of CRC cells with or without *APOE*-overexpression. The results showed that *APOE*-overexpression did not affect the mRNA level of *LRP1* (Figure 5E), but significantly increased the protein level (Figure 5F).

LRP1 knockdown suppresses the metastasis-promoting function of APOE

To further investigate the effect of LRP1 on the metastasispromoting function of APOE, we performed transwell assays after the knockdown of LRP1 with siRNAs. The knockdown efficiency of LRP1 was validated at both mRNA and protein levels. The LRP1 mRNA and protein levels of the experimental groups were significantly lower than those of the control groups (Figure 6A and 6B). Subsequently, we found that the migration and invasion ability of HCT116 and HCT8 cells with APOEoverexpression was increased compared with control cells transfected with si-NC, which was consistent with the results in Figure 2F and 2G. However, when APOE-overexpressing CRC cells were transfected with two siRNAs targeting LRP1, the migrated and invaded cells of si-LRP1 groups were significantly lower than those of si-NC groups, indicating that the migrationand invasion-promoting phenomenon of APOE-overexpression was significantly suppressed by LRP1 knockdown (Figure 6C and 6D). All in all, these data clearly showed that LRP1 mediated the migration- and invasion-promoting function of APOE.

Discussion

Reprogramming of lipid metabolism is one of the common metabolic features of tumor cells, which is closely associated with tumor metastasis and resistance to chemotherapy [26, 27]. Lipids are normally bound to apolipoproteins in the body



Figure 5. *LRP1* is highly expressed in CRC patients with lymphatic invasion and high *APOE* level, and *APOE*-overexpression upregulates LRP1 protein level. (A) The intracellular and extracellular apoE levels of HCT116 and HCT8 cells with *APOE*-overexpression were determined by ELISA; (B) Schematic diagram of protein interactions of apoE. Data were obtained from the STRING database; (C) Comparison of *LRP1* mRNA levels between the lymphatic invasion group and the non-lymphatic invasion group; (D) Comparison of *LRP1* mRNA levels between the *APOE*^{High} group (median *APOE* mRNA level > 10.24) and *APOE*^{Low} group (median *APOE* mRNA level < 10.24). Data were obtained from the UCSC Xena database; (E) Relative *LRP1* mRNA levels of CRC cells with or without *APOE*-overexpression; (F) Determination of LRP1 protein levels in HCT116 and HCT8 cells with or without *APOE*-overexpression using western blot. apoA1: Apolipoprotein A1; apoA2: Apolipoprotein A2; apoB: Apolipoprotein B; apoC1: Apolipoprotein C1; apoC2: Apolipoprotein C2; apoC3: Apolipoprotein C3; apoE: Apolipoprotein receptor-related protein 8; OE: Overexpression; VC: Vector control; ns: No significance; ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

to form lipoproteins. Apolipoproteins generally include apoA, apoB, apoC, apoD, and apoE, each of which can be divided into different subtypes. Here, we found that *APOC1*, *APOC2*, *APOD* and *APOE* mRNA levels were higher in the lymphatic invasion group, and the high levels of *APOD* and *APOE* indicated a poor prognosis.

Previous studies have also reported the association between apolipoproteins and CRC. A retrospective study of 508 CRC patients showed that patients with low levels of apoA1 had a worse prognosis than those with high levels of apoA1 [28]. Sirniö et al. [29] analyzed the association between serum apoA1 level and pathological tumor parameters, inflammatory markers, and survival prognosis in 144 CRC patients and found that apoA1 level was negatively correlated with tumor stage and inflammatory factors. The OS of patients with high apoA1 level is better than that of patients with low apoA1 level. Chen et al. [30] retrospectively analyzed the clinical data of 599 patients with advanced CRC and found that apoB/apoA1 \ge 0.63 was an independent risk factor for poor survival in patients without liver metastases. Kopylov et al. [31] analyzed the protein expression profiles of serum samples from 41 healthy volunteers and 28 CRC patients and found that apoB levels differed

significantly between the two groups. These studies suggest that apolipoproteins are abnormally expressed in CRC and their expression level is associated with the prognosis of CRC.

As an apolipoprotein, apoE is best known for its role in lipid metabolism. However, there is increasing evidence that it plays an important role in inflammation [32, 33], oxidative stress [34, 35], cell proliferation and angiogenesis [36], and immune response [37]. In addition, the role of apoE in tumor progression has been revealed, although it has been controversial. ApoE has been identified as an extracellular binding protein of leukocyte immunoglobulin-like receptor B4 (LILRB4), and disruption of the LILRB4/apoE interaction blocks the development of acute myeloid leukemia [38, 39]. Zheng et al. [9] found that apoE in tumor-associated macrophage-derived exosomes promoted gastric cancer metastasis. The effects of apoE on CRC progression remain unclear. In the present study, we found that APOE was overexpressed in advanced CRC patients and that high APOE mRNA level indicated poor prognosis, which was consistent with the findings of Zhao et al. [12]. In vitro experiments also demonstrated the metastasis-promoting role of APOE. However, APOE exerts tumor suppression roles in some cases. Lai et al. [40] reported that APOE-/- mice displayed



Figure 6. LRP1 mediates the metastasis-promoting function of APOE. HCT116 and HCT8 cells with APOE-overexpression were transfected with si-NC or si-*LRP1*, and the efficiency of *LRP1* knockdown was validated by qPCR (A) and western blot (B); (C) Transwell assays were performed 24 h post-transfection, and representative images of migration and invasion were shown; (D) Quantitative results of transwell assays. The migrated and invaded cells were counted using ImageJ. *APOE*: Apolipoprotein E; LRP1: Lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1; OE: Overexpression; qPCR: Quantitative polymerase chain reaction; VC: Vector control; ns: No significance.

collagen deposition in the peritoneal cavity, and the altered extracellular matrix in the peritoneal microenvironment accelerated the progression of ovarian cancer. In melanoma, LXR agonists robustly suppressed melanoma progression through the transcriptional induction of APOE [41]. We speculated that the opposite effects of APOE in tumor progression might be attributed to the complexity of metabolic characteristics and the tumor microenvironment in different cancer types.

Previous studies have shown that transcriptional regulation plays an important role in tumor progression [42, 43]. However, the regulation of APOE transcription, which is tissue-, differentiation-, and cell-specific, is still not clear in CRC. Here, we reported that the transcription factor Jun can interact with the proximal promoter region of *APOE* and upregulate its expression. Trusca et al. [44] showed that Jun could upregulate *APOE*-expression in hepatocytes but downregulate *APOE*-expression in macrophages. Combined with our results, these opposing effects of Jun on *APOE*-expression reflect the cell specificity of *APOE* transcription. Jun is a core member of the transcription factor complex AP-1, which is involved in the oncogenesis of various cancers [45]. In our study, we found that knocking down *JUN* suppressed the metastasis of CRC cells



Figure 7. Working model of the study. Transcription factor Jun can activate the promoter of *APOE* and enhance its expression. The overexpressed apoE is secreted outside the cell and promotes the migration and invasion of CRC cells by binding to the LRP1 receptor. *APOE*: Apolipoprotein E; CRC: Colorectal cancer; LRP1: Lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1.

which was effectively reversed by APOE-overexpression and treatment with rAPOE3. The tumor-promoting effect of *JUN* has also been described in other studies. Liu et al. [46] showed that the transcription factor Jun can inhibit the transcription of miR-22, an important tumor-suppressive miRNA. In a study by Xiang et al. [47], activation of Jun with hepatic leukemia factor promoted hepatocellular carcinoma development and sorafenib resistance. In addition to Jun, other transcription factors, such as Zic1/Zic2 and KLF4, are also responsible for APOE-expression [48, 49]. It is worth noting that APOE transcription involves an intricate network of many interacting regulatory elements that regulate APOE-expression in cell-specific ways to meet different biological requirements.

ApoE is a secreted protein that mainly binds to various receptors to exert different biological functions [8]. In this study, we found that most of apoE was secreted outside cells after its production, suggesting that apoE can exert its biological function in a paracrine manner. Through bioinformatic analysis and knockdown experiments, we identified LRP1 as the receptor that mediates the metastasis-promoting function of apoE. LRP1 is a multifunctional receptor that has been shown to be involved in many physiological and pathological processes, including cholesterol homeostasis, cell adhesion, and motility. Salama et al. [50] reported that tissue plasminogen activator promoted proliferation and migration of B16F10 melanoma cells, and this promoting function was mediated by LRP1. Sakamoto et al. [51] found that plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, derived from cancer-associated fibroblast-like cells, promoted the migration and invasion of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells and macrophages via LRP1. Another study identified the metastasis- and chemoresistance-promoting functions of the heat shock protein 90α -LRP1 signaling [52]. Our present findings have expanded the knowledge of LRP1/ligand interactions in regulating tumor progression.

Inevitably, there were some limitations in the present study. Our investigation of the role of *APOE* at CRC was based only on online database analysis and in vitro experiments, and the results of in vivo studies were not presented here. In addition, our study did not investigate whether other coreceptors are involved in mediating the metastasis-promoting function of apoE. Moreover, the underlying molecular mechanisms downstream of LRP1 remain to be elucidated. These shortcomings are precisely the direction of our future work.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we identified apoE as a CRC metastasis-promoting factor. The abnormally high expression of *APOE* was transcriptionally regulated by Jun. Furthermore, we demonstrated that CRC cell-derived apoE exerts a migration- and invasionpromoting function via the LRP1 receptor in a paracrine manner. Our results revealed the metastasis-promoting function of the Jun-*APOE*-LRP1 axis in CRC (Figure 7). Thus, blocking the Jun-*APOE*-LRP1 axis could inhibit the metastasis of CRC cells. Overall, the findings obtained in the present study will help to better understand the pathophysiological mechanism of CRC progression and provide potential diagnostic biomarkers and targets for metastasis inhibition.

Conflicts of interest: Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant numbers 82172337, 81772573]; Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China [grant numbers 2022A1515011316, 2021A1515012530]; Project to Attract High-Level Foreign Experts [grant numbers G20200019016]; and National Key Clinical Discipline.

Submitted: 5 May 2023 Accepted: 3 June 2023 Published online: 12 June 2023

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021;71(3):209–49. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660.
- [2] Aguirre-Portolés C, Feliu J, Reglero G, Ramírez de Molina A. ABCA1 overexpression worsens colorectal cancer prognosis by facilitating tumour growth and caveolin-1-dependent invasiveness, and these effects can be ameliorated using the BET inhibitor apabetalone. Mol Oncol 2018;12(10):1735-52. https://doi.org/10.1002/1878-0261.12367.
- [3] Cotte AK, Aires V, Fredon M, Limagne E, Derangère V, Thibaudin M, et al. Lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 2-mediated lipid droplet production supports colorectal cancer chemoresistance. Nat Commun 2018;9(1):322. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02732-5.
- [4] Wei TT, Lin YT, Chen WS, Luo P, Lin YC, Shun CT, et al. Dual targeting of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase and histone deacetylase as a therapy for colorectal cancer. EBioMedicine 2016;10:124–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.07.019.
- [5] Zhu Y, Gu L, Lin X, Liu C, Lu B, Cui K, et al. Dynamic regulation of ME1 phosphorylation and acetylation affects lipid metabolism and colorectal tumorigenesis. Mol Cell 2020;77(1):138–49. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.10.015.
- [6] Huang L, Liao C, Wu H, Huang P. PLCE1 is a poor prognostic marker and may promote immune escape from osteosarcoma by the CD70-CD27 signaling pathway. Biomol Biomed 2022;22(6):992–1004. https:// doi.org/10.17305/bjbms.2022.7416.
- [7] Getz GS, Reardon CA. Apoprotein E and reverse cholesterol transport. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19(11):3479. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19113479.

- [8] Zhao N, Liu C-C, Qiao W, Bu G. Apolipoprotein E, receptors, and modulation of Alzheimer's disease. Biol Psychiatry 2018;83(4):347–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.03.003.
- [9] Zheng P, Luo Q, Wang W, Li J, Wang T, Wang P, et al. Tumorassociated macrophages-derived exosomes promote the migration of gastric cancer cells by transfer of functional apolipoprotein. Cell Death Dis 2018;9(4):434. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-0465-5.
- [10] Pencheva N, Tran H, Buss C, Huh D, Drobnjak M, Busam K, et al. Convergent multi-miRNA targeting of ApoE drives LRP1/LRP8-dependent melanoma metastasis and angiogenesis. Cell 2012;151(5):1068-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.10.028.
- [11] Lim LC, Looi ML, Zakaria SZ, Sagap I, Rose IM, Chin SF, et al. Identification of differentially expressed proteins in the serum of colorectal cancer patients using 2D-DIGE proteomics analysis. Pathol Oncol Res 2016;22(1):169–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12253-015-9991-y.
- [12] Zhao Z, Zou S, Guan X, Wang M, Jiang Z, Liu Z, et al. Apolipoprotein E overexpression is associated with tumor progression and poor survival in colorectal cancer. Front Genet 2018;9:650. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fgene.2018.00650.
- [13] Kockx M, Traini M, Kritharides L. Cell-specific production, secretion, and function of apolipoprotein E. J Mol Med (Berl) 2018;96(5):361–71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-018-1632-y.
- [14] Trusca VG, Fuior EV, Fenyo IM, Kardassis D, Simionescu M, Gafencu AV. Differential action of glucocorticoids on apolipoprotein E gene expression in macrophages and hepatocytes. PLoS One 2017;12(3):e174078. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0174078.
- [15] Xu Q, Walker D, Bernardo A, Brodbeck J, Balestra ME, Huang Y. Intron-3 retention/splicing controls neuronal expression of apolipoprotein E in the CNS. J Neurosci 2008;28(6):1452–9. https://doi.org/10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.3253-07.2008.
- [16] Herz J, Bock HH. Lipoprotein receptors in the nervous system. Annu Rev Biochem 2002;71:405–34. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. biochem.71.110601.135342.
- [17] Bu G. Apolipoprotein E and its receptors in Alzheimer's disease: pathways, pathogenesis and therapy. Nat Rev Neurosci 2009;10(5):333-44. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2620.
- [18] Herz J, Clouthier DE, Hammer RE. LDL receptor-related protein internalizes and degrades uPA-PAI-1 complexes and is essential for embryo implantation. Cell 1992;71(3):411–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0092-8674(92)90511-A.
- [19] May P, Rohlmann A, Bock HH, Zurhove K, Marth JD, Schomburg ED, et al. Neuronal LRP1 functionally associates with postsynaptic proteins and is required for normal motor function in mice. Mol Cell Biol 2004;24(20):8872-83. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.20.8872-8883. 2004.
- [20] Wujak L, Böttcher RT, Pak O, Frey H, El Agha E, Chen Y, et al. Low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 couples β1 integrin activation to degradation. Cell Mol Life Sci 2018;75(9):1671-85. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00018-017-2707-6.
- [21] Lin LL, Kost ER, Lin CL, Valente P, Wang CM, Kolonin MG, et al. PAI-1-dependent inactivation of SMAD4-modulated junction and adhesion complex in obese endometrial cancer. Cell Rep 2020;33(2):108253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108253.
- [22] O'Brien RJ, Wong PC. Amyloid precursor protein processing and Alzheimer's disease. Annu Rev Neurosci 2011;34:185–204. https://doi. org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-061010-113613.
- [23] Jeon H, Blacklow SC. Structure and physiologic function of the low-density lipoprotein receptor. Annu Rev Biochem 2005;74:535-62. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.74.082803.133354.
- [24] Santana J, Marzolo M-P. The functions of Reelin in membrane trafficking and cytoskeletal dynamics: implications for neuronal migration, polarization and differentiation. Biochem J 2017;474(18):3137–65. https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160628.
- [25] Zhang X, Jiang D, Yang S, Sun Y, Liu Y, Shi J, et al. BAP31 promotes tumor cell proliferation by stabilizing SERPINE2 in hepatocellular carcinoma. Front Cell Dev Biol 2020;8:607906. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fcell.2020.607906.
- [26] Luo X, Cheng C, Tan Z, Li N, Tang M, Yang L, et al. Emerging roles of lipid metabolism in cancer metastasis. Mol Cancer 2017;16(1):76. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-017-0646-3.
- [27] Feng WW, Kurokawa M. Lipid metabolic reprogramming as an emerging mechanism of resistance to kinase inhibitors in breast cancer. Cancer Drug Resist 2020;3(1):1-17. https://doi.org/10.20517/ cdr.2019.100.

- [28] Quan Q, Huang Y, Chen Q, Qiu H, Hu Q, Rong Y, et al. Impact of serum apolipoprotein A-I on prognosis and bevacizumab efficacy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: a propensity score-matched analysis. Transl Oncol 2017;10(2):288–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon. 2017.01.006.
- [29] Sirniö P, Väyrynen JP, Klintrup K, Mäkelä J, Mäkinen MJ, Karttunen TJ, et al. Decreased serum apolipoprotein A1 levels are associated with poor survival and systemic inflammatory response in colorectal cancer. Sci Rep 2017;7(1):5374. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05415-9.
- [30] Chen C, Yi W, Zeng Z-F, Wang Q-X, Jiang W, Gao Y-H, et al. Serum apolipoprotein B to apolipoprotein A-I ratio is an independent predictor of liver metastasis from locally advanced rectal cancer in patients receiving neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy plus surgery. BMC Cancer 2022;22(1):7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-09101-y.
- [31] Kopylov AT, Stepanov AA, Malsagova KA, Soni D, Kushlinsky NE, Enikeev DV, et al. Revelation of proteomic indicators for colorectal cancer in initial stages of development. Molecules 2020;25(3):619. https://doi. org/10.3390/molecules25030619.
- [32] El-Bahrawy AH, Tarhuni A, Kim H, Subramaniam V, Benslimane I, Elmageed ZYA, et al. ApoE deficiency promotes colon inflammation and enhances the inflammatory potential of oxidized-LDL and TNF- α in primary colon epithelial cells. Biosci Rep 2016;36(5):e00388. https://doi.org/10.1042/BSR20160195.
- [33] Yin C, Ackermann S, Ma Z, Mohanta SK, Zhang C, Li Y, et al. ApoE attenuates unresolvable inflammation by complex formation with activated Clq. Nat Med 2019;25(3):496–506. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41591-018-0336-8.
- [34] Shi L, Du X, Zhou H, Tao C, Liu Y, Meng F, et al. Cumulative effects of the ApoE genotype and gender on the synaptic proteome and oxidative stress in the mouse brain. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol 2014;17(11):1863-79. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1461145714000601.
- [35] Tarnus E, Wassef H, Carmel JF, Rondeau P, Roche M, Davignon J, et al. Apolipoprotein E limits oxidative stress-induced cell dysfunctions in human adipocytes. FEBS Lett 2009;583(12):2042–8. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.febslet.2009.05.016.
- [36] Bhattacharjee PS, Huq TS, Mandal TK, Graves RA, Muniruzzaman S, Clement C, et al. A novel peptide derived from human apolipoprotein E is an inhibitor of tumor growth and ocular angiogenesis. PLoS One 2011;6(1):e15905. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0015905.
- [37] Tavazoie MF, Pollack I, Tanqueco R, Ostendorf BN, Reis BS, Gonsalves FC, et al. LXR/APOE activation restricts innate immune suppression in cancer. Cell 2018;172(4):825–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.12.026.
- [38] Deng M, Gui X, Kim J, Xie L, Chen W, Li Z, et al. LILRB4 signaling in leukemia cells mediates T cell suppression and tumour infiltration. Nature 2018;562(7728):605–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0615-z.
- [39] Gui X, Deng M, Song H, Chen Y, Xie J, Li Z, et al. Disrupting LILRB4/APOE interaction by an efficacious humanized antibody reverses T-cell suppression and blocks AML development. Cancer Immunol Res 2019;7(8):1244–57. https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066. CIR-19-0036.
- [40] Lai H, Zhao X, Qin Y, Ding Y, Chen R, Li G, et al. FAK-ERK activation in cell/matrix adhesion induced by the loss of apolipoprotein E stimulates the malignant progression of ovarian cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2018;37(1):32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-018-0696-4.
- [41] Pencheva N, Buss CG, Posada J, Merghoub T, Tavazoie SF. Broadspectrum therapeutic suppression of metastatic melanoma through nuclear hormone receptor activation. Cell 2014;156(5):986-1001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.01.038.
- [42] Jiang Y-Y, Jiang Y, Li C-Q, Zhang Y, Dakle P, Kaur H, et al. TP63, SOX2, and KLF5 establish a core regulatory circuitry that controls epigenetic and transcription patterns in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cell lines. Gastroenterology 2020;159(4):1311–27. https://doi.org/10.1053/j. gastro.2020.06.050.
- [43] Chen Y, Wang T, Huang M, Liu Q, Hu C, Wang B. MAFB promotes cancer stemness and tumorigenesis in osteosarcoma through a sox9mediated positive feedback loop. Cancer Res 2020;80(12):2472-83. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-19-1764.
- [44] Trusca VG, Fuior EV, Kardassis D, Simionescu M, Gafencu AV. The opposite effect of c-Jun transcription factor on apolipoprotein

E gene regulation in hepatocytes and macrophages. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20(6):1471. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20061471.

- [45] Lopez-Bergami P, Lau E, Ronai Z. Emerging roles of ATF2 and the dynamic AP1 network in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2010;10(1):65-76. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2681.
- [46] Liu Y, Chen X, Cheng R, Yang F, Yu M, Wang C, et al. The Jun/miR-22/HuR regulatory axis contributes to tumourigenesis in colorectal cancer. Mol Cancer 2018;17(1):11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-017-0751-3.
- [47] Xiang DM, Sun W, Zhou T, Zhang C, Cheng Z, Li SC, et al. Oncofetal HLF transactivates c-Jun to promote hepatocellular carcinoma development and sorafenib resistance. Gut 2019;68(10):1858–71. https://doi. org/10.1136/gutjnl-2018-317440.
- [48] Salero E, Pérez-Sen R, Aruga J, Giménez C, Zafra F. Transcription factors Zic1 and Zic2 bind and transactivate the apolipoprotein E gene promoter. J Biol Chem 2001;276(3):1881–8. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M007008200.
- [49] Stavri S, Simionescu M, Kardassis D, Gafencu AV. Krüppellike factor 4 synergizes with CREB to increase the activity of apolipoprotein E gene promoter in macrophages. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2015;468(1-2):66-72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc. 2015.10.163.
- [50] Salama Y, Lin SY, Dhahri D, Hattori K, Heissig B. The fibrinolytic factor tPA drives LRP1-mediated melanoma growth and metastasis. FASEB J 2019;33(3):3465–80. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201801339RRR.
- [51] Sakamoto H, Koma YI, Higashino N, Kodama T, Tanigawa K, Shimizu M, et al. PAI-1 derived from cancer-associated fibroblasts in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma promotes the invasion of cancer cells and the migration of macrophages. Lab Invest 2021;101(3):353–68. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41374-020-00512-2.
- [52] Xue N, Du T, Lai F, Jin J, Ji M, Chen X. Secreted HSP90alpha-LRP1 signaling promotes tumor metastasis and chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. Int J Mol Sci 2022;23(10):5532. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms23105532.

Related articles published in BJBMS

1. PCAT1 is a poor prognostic factor in endometrial carcinoma and associated with cancer cell proliferation, migration and invasion

Xiaohuan Zhao et al., BJBMS, 2019

2. Knockdown of TPT1-AS1 inhibits cell proliferation, cell cycle G1/S transition, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in gastric cancer

Jun Tang et al., BJBMS, 2020

3. MicroRNA-466 (miR-466) functions as a tumor suppressor and prognostic factor in colorectal cancer (CRC)

Feng Tong et al., BJBMS, 2018

4. Long noncoding RNA FAM225B facilitates proliferation and metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells by regulating miR-613/CCND2 axis

Weijun Dai et al., BJBMS, 2022